Interview Summary	Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/617,850		LULLA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	_
	Nihir Patel		3772	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Nihir Patel.	(3)			
(2) <u>Thomas P. Pavelko</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: April 10 th 2007.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	?)∏ applicant'	s representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1.				
Identification of prior art discussed: WO 93/11817 and US 4,509,515.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)∐ was not re	eached. h) N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The applicant argues that the examiner did not consider an affidavit 1.132 in the previous office action. The examiner agrees and will consider the affidavit in the next non-final office action.</u>				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
•				
		•		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's sign	ature, if required	